

ARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES D Patent and Trademark Offic

MC

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. M M4065.0363/P 08/31/00 TUTTLE 09/653,541 **EXAMINER** MM92/1101 LE, B THOMAS J D AMICO DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2101 L STREET NW 2818 WASHINGTON DC 20037-1526 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

11/01/01

	Appli	Application No. Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summe		53,541	TUTTLE, MARK
. Office Action Summa	Exam	iner	Art Unit
	Bau ⁻	ΓLe	2818
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears on	the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period - Any reply received by the Office later than three r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 Status	IMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In his communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within the timum statutory period will apply a for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of the	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thir and will expire SIX (6) MON e application to become At	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>31 August</u>	<u> 2000</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in co closed in accordance with the			tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-96</u> is/are pending i	in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected	l.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	d to.		
8)⊠ Claims <u>1-96</u> are subject to re	striction and/or election	requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to	o by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the	e Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correct	ion filed on is: a) approved b) □] disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is obje	ected to by the Examine	r.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Non	e of:		
1. Certified copies of the p	riority documents have	been received.	
2. Certified copies of the p	riority documents have	been received in A	opplication No
	International Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of	a claim for domestic pri	ority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)			
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18) 🔲 Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 		19) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/653,541

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-96 are pending in this application.

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-69, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 778.

Group II. Claims 70-96, drawn to process of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, and subclass 108.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be use to make other and materially different product or by hand, or (2) that process as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instance case unpatentabilities of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by the processes materially different from those of the group II invention.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the

Art Unit: 2818

fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bau T. Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-0532. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956

Bau Le

20 Avid Neims
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800